Status of the 2km GEANT 4 Simulation

- Update since
 last meeting
 2 weeks ago
- Status
 - Projects
 - People
- Plans/Schedule



Chris Walter Boston University 01/26/04

Since the Collaboration Meeting

- We had a phone conference for some of the US people working towards the SAGENAP meeting on Jan $16^{\rm th.}$
 - Walter, Kearns, Svoboda, Dazeley, Sobel, Casper, Smy,
 Vagins, Kropp, Mine, Wilkes
- We discussed our technical options for doing physics studies and decided the best thing was to follow two tracks.
 - Work hard to make the GEANT4 simulation usuable for studies by completing the simulation code for more than one pixelization. Also study joint WC/FGD analysis etc.
 - Also ask to have the 1kton simulation extended to 2km size.



- 2km Simulation:
 - Make simulation work with different pixelizations
 - Try to analyze with stand alone polfit and or modified SK code.
- 1 kton code
 - We need to show that water Cherenkov can perform as well as needed to achieve the resolution we claim.
 In K2K we may not have achieved this. ==>> Study changes necessary for example: calibration
 - If we extend to 2km size we can try to use modified 1kton code and we can use as a baseline to compare against 2km simulation, by running the same standalone code.

Job Lists:

- Chris W. MC code structure. Hits/Digitization etc.
- Ed K.- Water Cherenkov tube geometry definitions/ multiple pixelization.
- Kate S.- Root access to MC output. Interface with standalone analysis code.
- S. Mine/Dave C./Kate S. Modification of Polfit/Rewrite of standalone Polfit/Explib for electron appearance /fitting.
- Bob S. MRD simulation completion.
- Steven D./Michael S. Water scattering paramaters/material reflection paramaters etc.
- Michael S.- Generalizing standalone Bonsai vertex fitter..
- Dave W. Test GEANT4 Cherenkov/production water scattering.

Simulation Status now(WC)

- WC is now simulated with two configurations (20" PMT/8" PMT)
- Cherenkov photons are propogated (with SK tube QE taken into effect)
- Multiple single PE hits are recorded at PMTs.
 Photon hits are summed and digitized for analysis.
- Processed information is written into a ntuple and root structure
- ToDo(today!): Missing tubeID <> XYZ mapping.

Cherenkov + FGD + MRD



Uses JPARC neut vectors converted to NUANCE text format.

Electron and Muon with 20" PMT



100 MeV KE e+

300 MeV KE mu+ (with decay e)

Electron and Muon with 8" PMT



100 MeV KE e+

300 MeV KE mu+ (with decay e)

PiO with 8" and 20" PMT



100 MeV KE piO

100 MeV KE piO

X&Y Hits in FGD

The detectors are sensitive to hits, but as of right now there is no digitization information. Sci-bar type detector.

This is an area which can use people to studies of alternative options.

Rex Tayloe of FINeSSE has asked about studying a "SciBath" option. European GEANT4 experts could contribute here.



Plans for 2km Monte Carlo Reconstruction Using Polfit

D. Casper S. Mine K. Scholberg



Existing SuperK Code

- So-called "π⁰ fitter" (Polfit) actually consists of several parts:
 - Expected charge prediction
 - Much faster scattered light calculation than other libraries
 - Likelihood estimation
 - Compare observed Q distribution with expected Q
 - Specialized algorithm to find maximum likelihood for π^0 fitting CASPFR

Stand-alone Version

- A new version of the expected charge and likelihood estimation has been written for earlier studies of 2km detector
 - Completely independent of SK libraries
 - Written in object-oriented language (C#)
 - Includes new and potentially important physics (not considered in SK version)
 - Reflected light from PMTs and black-sheet
- Does not include a "fitter" (likelihood maximization) yet, but preliminary studies are encouraging
 - Seems able to discriminate e/µ and locate vertex position along track
 CASPER

Application to 2km Detector

- Plan is to translate stand-alone version to C++
- Able to handle different detector geometries flexibly
- Does not require any SK code
 - New library should be usable for 2km detector, as well as SK and 1kton data
- Likelihood technique in principle can:
 - Find vertex (if timing information included)
 - Find track directions
 - Perform particle ID
 - Test different topological hypotheses (1-ring/2-ring)

CASPER

Detailed Work Plan

- Proceed in steps, from most basic to more complex:
 - Convert stand-alone code to C++
 - Test and tune expected charge prediction
 - Compare expected/observed charge to obtain likelihood (goodness of fit)
 - Add likelihood maximization (fitting) capability
 - Grid search, simplex, simulated annealing, ...
 - Provide covariance matrix of fitted parameters
- Proceed as far as possible before SAGENAP meeting
 - Interesting plots and performance studies are possible once likelihood calculation works
 - Possible to work around capabilities not yet available, using MC truth
 - E.g. use MC truth vertex (smeared by Gaussian), use MC truth track direction and step along track, etc.

Proposed Initial Division of Labor

- Dave:
 - Translate standalone algorithm into C++
 - Debug internal code of stand-alone library
 - Add fitting (likelihood maximization) capability
- Kate:
 - Provide geometry, PMT data, starting vertex, etc from 2km simulation to new fitter library
 - Test and tune expected charge and likelihood for 2km
 - Integrate and test new library with 2km simulation software
- Mine
 - Provide geometry, PMT data, starting vertex, etc from SuperK and/or 1kton simulation to new fitter library
 - Test and tune expected charge and likelihood for SK/1kton
 - Compare 2km results with SK/1kton and confirm validity of simulation
 CASPER

Schedule/Plans

- 1st order WC simulation almost ready. Need correct scattering/matertial reflections and tube ID.
- WC Hit/digitization structure + physics/track info being written out to ntuple/roottuple now.

MO フ

Jext

• Work continuing on stand alone polfit analysis code coding and interfacing to the root data.

Goal: Finish 1st order simulation + calling polfit code ~ 1 week from now.

- Better simulation paramaters + materials/geometry.
- Tuning of analysis code. Comparison with SK/1kton
- Initial e vs. pi0 8" vs 20" performance